

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/628,871 07/28/2003 Wilfried J. Schmitz 562122000200 3840 10/28/2004 **EXAMINER** Wayne C. Jaeschke Jr. LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M Morrison & Foerster llp 1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 300 PAPER NUMBER McLean, VA 22102 1724

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/628,871	SCHMITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	_	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	:	and the second s
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·	•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
		·
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-413)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Palent and The Additional Control of the Additional	5) Notice of Informal Pai 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1724

Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

- Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.
   However, the provisional application upon which priority is claimed became abandoned before the filing of the instant application. *Information Disclosure Statement*
- 2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Specification,

3. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-16 are allowed.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of 5. record fails to disclose or suggest a method to control the level of addition of a chemical treatment to a main flow of a fluid wastestream being treated, comprising forming a side stream at a diverting point, determining the flow rate of the main flow, adding the chemical treatment at a first addition point of the side stream based principally on proportionality to the main flow flow rate, measuring the concentration of chemical treatment in the side stream downstream from the first addition point, adding the chemical treatment at a second addition point of the side stream based principally on the difference between the level of addition at the first point and the concentration measured, and returning the side stream to the main flow downstream of the diverting point. The prior art also does not disclose or suggest a dosing system comprising a side stream diverting from the main flow of a liquid, a diverting point from the main flow into the side stream, a first addition point at which chlorine dioxide is added to the side stream principally proportional to the flow rate of the main flow, a second point where a second addition of chlorine dioxide is added to the side stream and adjusted based on data signals from a primary measuring device, wherein the device measures a parameter in the liquid indicative of a reaction between the chlorine dioxide and components in the liquid, a returning point to the main flow positioned downstream of the diverting point, at least one computational control device receiving signals indicating flow rate to control the first addition and receiving data from the primary analyzer to adjust the second addition.

Art Unit: 1724

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose chemical dosing systems.
- 7. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

  See paragraphs 1-3 above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fl

Frank Laurence 9-13-04